

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1089-01  
Bill No.: HJR 10  
Subject: Constitutional Amendments: Department of Transportation  
Type: Original  
Date: February 12, 2001

---

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
General Revenue	\$0	(\$43,800)	\$0
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>(\$43,800)</b>	<b>\$0</b>

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

**FISCAL ANALYSIS**

### ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Department of Revenue** stated that the proposal would not affect their agency.

Officials of the **Department of Transportation** indicated that they could not estimate the effect of this proposal on the Highway Fund because the effect would depend upon: a) whether there would be collections in excess of the revenue ceiling, and b) whether the voters would assign that excess revenue to the Highway Fund.

Officials of the **Secretary of State** noted that the proposal makes it possible that statewide elections would be required in years there are no statewide elections scheduled. The cost for a statewide election could be as much as \$3,000,000.

**Oversight** notes that possible effects of this proposal are contingent on state revenues exceeding the revenue ceiling. Those effects, if any, would be addressed during the normal budget cycle.

Advertisement costs for the proposal would be \$4,380 per newspaper column inch for three printings of the text of the proposal, the introduction, title, fiscal note summary, and affidavit. The proposal would be on the ballot for the November 2002 general election.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
-----------------------------------------	---------	---------	---------

<u>Cost to General Revenue Fund</u>			
-------------------------------------	--	--	--

<u>Secretary of State</u>			
---------------------------	--	--	--

Newspaper Advertisements		(\$43,800)	
--------------------------	--	------------	--

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
-----------------------------------------	---------	---------	---------

	\$0	\$0	\$0
--	-----	-----	-----

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### DESCRIPTION

This proposal would require the legislature to submit a proposal to the voters about the use of excess state revenues, as defined in article X of the state constitution. The voters would be asked  
DESCRIPTION (continued)

if the excess revenues would be distributed to income taxpayers or deposited to the credit of the

Highway Fund.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. This proposal would not affect Total State Revenue.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue  
Department of Transportation  
Secretary of State

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeanne Jarrett". The signature is stylized with a large initial "J" and a cursive "Jarrett".

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA  
Director  
February 12, 2001